UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,608	04/05/2007	Frederik Henricus Wittkampf	0B-049910US	3431
55714 7590 11/30/2009 ST. JUDE MEDICAL, ATRIAL FIBRILLATION DIVISION Legal Department One St. Jude Medical Drive St. Paul, MN 55117-9913			EXAMINER	
			SCOTT, AMANDA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/595,608	WITTKAMPF ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	71117111271 00011	0700
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (2)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14-22,27-29,31 and 38-48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Linda C Dvorak/	/A. S./	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3739	Examiner, Art Unit 3739	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues (page 9 of 11-10 of 11) that the Brucker does not disclose at least one bore formed through the electrode as well as the bore includes at least one fluid outlet branch coupling to a lateral side of the unitary electrode (claim 14), at least one outlet opening formed at an angle relative to the longitudinal fluid passageway.(claims 27 and 38). Examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in Figure 9 of Brucker (6017338) as well as described in the final rejection dated 09/15/2009. The bore is shown as the cutout running through the electrode tip. Also the side channels can be designated by 58 (column 6, lines 16-32). The amendment has been entered as a matter of record as well as the arguments presented 11/16/2009 but they fail to place the application in condition for allowance.

Continuation of 13. Other: In the second paragraph on Page 11 of 11 of the applicants arguments, applicants refer to a rejection of Webster and Simpson, however upon further consideration, no rejection of the final rejection dated 09/15/2009 uses Webster or Simpson as a reference. Also the applicant states that the rejection is moot as the rejected claims are canceled. Examiner is unsure as to what applicant intends. As stated in the first paragraph of the arguments, no claims have been newly cancelled and so for the purpose of this action, claims 14-22,27-29,31, and 38-48 are still pending before the office. Clarification of this statement in the response to this action is requested..